Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/537,326	LAHR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JACOB T. MINSKEY	1741
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) JACOB T. MINSKEY.	(3)	
(2) <u>Phillip Rice</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 May 2011	Time: Noon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Matthew J. Daniels/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1741		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: in the interview it was discussed that the previously mentioned amendments of "with no intermediate passageways" would be required to distinguish the claims over the prior art. The amended claims of extending directly from still provided a reasonable interpretation of the claims to include an intermediate section that connected the first and second gaps (a feature that is well known in the prior art). The amendment of adding this limitation to the independent claims was agreed upon.